

Simulation Based Evaluation of Integrated Adaptive Control and Flight Planning Technologies


John Kaneshige and Stefan Campbell, NASA Ames



Integrated Resilient Aircraft Control

Objective

The objective of this work is to leverage NASA resources to enable effective evaluation of *resilient aircraft technologies* through simulation. This includes examining the strengths and weaknesses of adaptive controllers, emergency flight planning algorithms, and flight envelope determination algorithms both individually and as an integrated package.




Intelligent / Adaptive Guidance, Navigation, and Control Architecture

NASA Unique Resources

FLTz (pronounced *Flight Z*)

- Desktop simulator developed in C and compatible with Fortran.
- Developed with GNU resources – i.e. no special software required.
- Macintosh/Linux/Windows compatible.
- Communication is performed through a global common variable structure that facilitates easy integration of new aircraft models (of arbitrary fidelity) and control architectures.
- Effective for rapidly testing new technologies and transitioning to ACFS.
- Simulations may be automated through scripting.



FLTz Desktop Simulation

Advanced Concept Flight Simulator (ACFS)


- High-fidelity capable aircraft cockpit simulator that is entirely programmable
- Full 6 DOF motion base
- 180° horizontal and 40° vertical field of view
- Capable of displaying a wide variety of specific airports
- Highly programmable visualizations and displays.
- Capable of simulating a large number of aircraft
- Appropriate for full mission simulation with real pilots



ACFS Simulator – External View and Cockpit

GTM (Generic Transport Model)

- Aerodynamic model of a full-scale generic commercial transport aircraft
- Wind tunnel data collected for 5.5% dynamically scaled model
- Vortex Lattice Modeling used to generate various damage models
- Damages include losses to the left wing, left horizontal tail, and rudder
- Provides a uniform test bed for researchers to evaluate adaptive control and intelligent flight planning technologies
- Simulink based model under development
- Future work to include aeroservoelastic (ASE) effects and higher-fidelity engine model



Undamaged GTM


Considered GTM Damages

Current Technologies

Several technologies are currently integrated or in the process of being integrated with NASA's unique resources. Here is a quick snapshot of some of the technologies developed at NASA Ames.

Adaptive Flight Control Architectures


- Baseline model reference PI controller with dynamic inversion.
- Direct adaptive control using neural networks
- Hybrid indirect-adaptive control to update dynamic inversion model (recursive least squares based algorithm)
- Metrics-driven adjustment to neural network learning rate for both direct adaptive control and hybrid adaptive control
- Future work aimed at developing and integrating *L1* Adaptive Controller



Baseline Controller with Direct NN Adaptation

Emergency Flight Planning Algorithms

- Two tiered architecture featuring a high-level course planner and a low-level detailed trajectory planner.
- High level planner outputs a list of target waypoints to trajectory planning algorithm and a rank ordered list of possible landing sites.
- Low level trajectory planner constructs a kinematically feasible path using geometric primitives.



High Level Course Planner Communicating with Trajectory Planner

Work with NRA Partners

- Real-time identification of flight envelope (Ella Atkins, U. of Michigan)
- Flight envelope constraints used by planning algorithms

Experimental Plan

- Integrate all IRAC technologies into FLTz and ACFS.
- Have NASA test pilots perform preselected test maneuvers to evaluate adaptive controller performance using Cooper-Harper ratings.
- Collect and record flight data and evaluate controllers based on traditional control metrics, including **stability margins, transient performance, tracking performance, and cross-coupling**.
- Have NASA test pilots fly mission scenarios featuring a combination of flight conditions and delayed failures. Evaluate performance and value of emergency planning technologies and tools.

Conclusion

The NASA IRAC project is developing new state-of-the-art technologies for resilient aircraft control, guidance, and planning. Additionally, considerable effort is being placed on developing simulation capabilities for effective technology evaluation and maturation.